

Message

From: Hence, Kia [hence.kia@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/16/2017 3:56:55 PM
To: Snyder, Doug [Snyder.Doug@epa.gov]; Hyden, Loretta [Hyden.Loretta@epa.gov]
CC: Pino, Maria [Pino.Maria@epa.gov]; Joerger, Verena [joerger.verena@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: 2016 exceptional events submittals - status? Need quick answer

Hi Doug,

- 1) Did both states submit EE's for the May and July events? No, Maryland submitted for May and July EEs. PADEP only submitted for May.
- 2) Do we have a sense as to whether their submissions are approvable? We will approve/concur with MDE's monitors that have regulatory significance. We can't approve PADEPs EE package in its current state.
- 3) If we approve their EE requests, does the removal of these EEs make the first half of 2016 ozone data (I'm assuming up to July 20, 2016) show attainment for the 2014-2016 period? Yes, for MDE where the EE excluded data would affect the DV.
- 4) Does R3 have a sense of when we might approve/disapprove these actions? We anticipate acting on and completing (i.e. RA's signature, FR notice and letters) MDE's request by the end of September. PADEP's status is unknown until we receive a complete EE demo for the May fire.

From: Snyder, Doug
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2017 11:31 AM
To: Hence, Kia <hence.kia@epa.gov>; Hyden, Loretta <Hyden.Loretta@epa.gov>
Cc: Pino, Maria <Pino.Maria@epa.gov>
Subject: 2016 exceptional events submittals - status? Need quick answer

Folks,

I'm casting a wide net here in hopes of getting an answer to Region 2 before Noon today! R2 called and wanted to know the answer to the following questions regarding Pa's and Md's exceptional event submittals for 2016 concerning the May and July 2016 wildfires in Canada:

- 1) Did both states submit EE's for the May and July events?
- 2) Do we have a sense as to whether their submissions are approvable?
- 3) If we approve their EE requests, does the removal of these EEs make the first half of 2016 ozone data (I'm assuming up to July 20, 2016) show attainment for the 2014-2016 period?, and
- 4) Does R3 have a sense of when we might approve/disapprove these actions?

I think the answer to question 3 above is the most important one for R2's purposes because if the EE data is removed and the Phila Area shows attainment for first half of 2016 for ozone, then R2's argument/complaint is somewhat moot.

R2 is briefing their RA on the 2008 ozone DOA for the Phila Area today and R2 is having an internal call at Noon to discuss. So, if possible, could someone get me answers by Noon so I can pass on to R2? Sorry for short notice but just received call myself.

Doug Snyder